

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/603,628	06/26/2003	Wolfgang Diemer	440757/PALL 3381		
23548 7590 10/18/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW			CINTINS, IVARS C		
SUITE 300	NIH SI. NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005-3960		1724		
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Refore the Filing of an Anneal Brief

		•	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/603,628	DIEMER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ivars C. Cintins	1724	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ivars C. Cintins	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in comments and the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY GHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing date.	g date of the final rejection of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office of the final rejection, expenses the final rejection.	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	:		
7. Sor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-8,11, 17 and 19-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9,10,12-16 and 18 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	vided below or appended.		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s) // / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	

Art Unit: 1724

Continuation of 13. Other: With regard to Applicant's remarks contained in the response filed September 30, 2005, it is pointed out that the removed solids in the WO '555 system will inherently produce a layer containing interstitial spaces, and these interstitial spaces are inherently capable of preventing passage (i.e. filtering) of particles larger than these spaces. It is also pointed out that the filter module of this reference is capable of filtering both liquids and gases; and if gases are filtered by this device, then the separated solids retained in the filter cells (9) would be dry. Furthermore, even if this device is employed to filter an aqueous liquid, the removed solids permenantly retained in the filter cells of this device (see the last sentence of the abstract) would eventually dry out, and at this point the "treatment material" in these cells would be dry, as now recited in claims 1 and 17.